Multi-Coupled Resonator Microwave Diplexer with High Isolation
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Abstract—A microwave diplexer achieved by coupling a dual-band bandpass filter onto two single-bands (transmit, Tx and receive, Rx) bandpass filters is presented. This design eliminates the need for employing external junctions in diplexer design, as opposed to the conventional design approach which requires separate junctions for energy distribution. A 10-pole (10th order) diplexer has been successfully designed, simulated, fabricated and measured. The diplexer is composed of 2 poles from the dual-band filter, 4 poles from the Tx bandpass filter, and the remaining 4 poles from the Rx bandpass filter. The design was implemented using asynchronously tuned microstrip square open-loop resonators. The simulation and measurement results show that an isolation of 50 dB is achieved between the diplexer Tx and Rx bands. The minimum insertion loss is 2.88 dB for the transmit band, and 2.95 dB for the receive band.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Diplexer is device used for either splitting a frequency band into two sub-bands or for combining two sub-bands into one wide band [1]. It is popularly used in satellite communication systems to combine both the Tx and the Rx antennas on space crafts. Microwave diplexer is commonly used in the Radio Frequency (RF) front end of cellular radio base stations to separate the Tx and the Rx channels as shown in Fig. 1.

Conventionally, microwave diplexers are achieved by connecting two separately designed filters together via an external energy distribution device. This connecting device could be a T-junction [1], a Y-junction [2], a circulator [3], a manifold [4] or a common resonator [5]. The external junctions utilised in the conventional approach to diplexer design had resulted in more complex and larger size diplexer devices. The complexity of the conventional design is due to the fact that the external junction (or connecting device) needs to be continuously adjusted and optimised in order to achieve a desired result. Also, the large size issue with conventional diplexer is because the external junctions or the common resonator used in other diplexer designs found in literature. The actual function of the dual-band filter is to establish the two pass-bands of the diplexer. To verify this design method, a test diplexer is presented with the following specifications: centre frequency, $f_0$, 1849 MHz; centre frequency of the transmit band, $f_{0,TX}$, 1800 MHz; centre frequency of the receive band, $f_{0,RX}$, 1900 MHz; fractional bandwidth of the transmit band, $\text{FBW}_{TX}$, 4%; fractional bandwidth of the receive band, $\text{FBW}_{RX}$, 4%; passband return loss, $\text{RL}$, 20 dB.

II. DIPLEXER CIRCUIT MODEL

To illustrate the novel diplexer design method, a design example with the specification presented in previous section...
will be used to describe the design procedure. The diplexer design is started off by designing two individual 5-pole bandpass filters (BPF1 and BPF2) using the conventional method presented in [6]. BPF1 has a centre frequency corresponding to that of the proposed diplexer transmit band while BPF2 has a centre frequency corresponding to that of the received band. Both channel filters were designed with 20 dB return loss and 50 Ohms termination. Each has a fractional bandwidth (FBW) of 4% to match the proposed diplexer transmit and receive bands. The numerical design parameters for both BPF1 and BPF2 are shown in Table 1. As explained in [6], L, C and J are inductance, capacitance and J-inverter values, respectively. F is the filter centre frequency.

### Table 1. 5th Order Chebyshev Bandpass Filter Design Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BPF n</th>
<th>F n [GHz]</th>
<th>L [nH]</th>
<th>C [pF]</th>
<th>Jn01</th>
<th>Jn12</th>
<th>Jn23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>43.23</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>40.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A 10-pole dual-band filter (DBF) was also designed using the method presented in [7]. The DBF was designed to operate at the centre frequency of the proposed diplexer, with a combined FBW of 8% (with equal split of 4% each, for the upper and the lower passbands). Table 2 shows the numerical design parameters for the DBF.

### Table 2. 10th Order Chebyshev Dual-Band Bandpass Filter Design Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBF</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>20.90</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The diplexer circuit model was established by coupling the first two poles (dualband resonator) of the DBF, onto the last four poles of the transmit channel filter (BPF1) and the last four poles of the receive channel filter (BPF2). Fig. 2 (a), (b), and (c) show the coupling scheme for a conventional diplexer, a common resonator diplexer and the proposed diplexer, respectively.

The proposed diplexer is composed of 10 resonators, making it a 10th order diplexer as shown in Fig. 3. The coupling between resonators in the proposed diplexer circuit model is mainly asymmetrical coupling since the diplexer is made up of three different filters, namely the DBF, BPF1 and BPF2, which all have different centre frequencies as shown in Tables 1 and II.

\[
J'_{11} = \sqrt{\frac{C}{L} \left( f_{o,TX}^2 - f_{o,RX}^2 \right)}
\]  

(1)

The design parameters for the diplexer are the same as those contained in Tables I and II. \(J'_{11}\) is the J-inverter that exists between the two DBF resonators that form the energy distributor for the proposed diplexer. The apostrophe is used here to differential it from the single bandpass filter J-inverter rather than having the mathematically meaning of derivative J-inverter. The numerical value for \(J'_{11}\), as indicated in Table II, is determined using (1) [7], where \(f_{o,TX}\) and \(f_{o,RX}\) are the centre frequencies for the diplexer transmit and receive bands respectively. The diplexer circuit model was simulated using the Agilent Advanced Design System (ADS) circuit simulator. Before performing the simulation, the couplings between resonators were modelled using the method presented in [9].

The simulation results of the diplexer circuit model are shown in Fig. 4. The results clearly show that the diplexer has a centre frequency of 1.85 GHz as designed. The minimum return loss is approximately 19 dB across the band. These are in close agreement with the original design specification.
III. MICROSTRIP DIPLEXER

The microstrip square open-loop resonator (SOLR) technique presented in [9] has been utilised in the implementation of the diplexer circuit. The SOLRs utilised in achieving the diplexer presented in this investigation were designed to have the dimensions shown in Fig. 5. The transmit resonator (Tx), the receive resonator (Rx), and the energy distributor resonator (ED), all correspond to the BPF1, the BPF2, and the DBF component filters, respectively. All dimensions were achieved based on the component filters centre frequencies.

The microstrip square open-loop resonator (SOLR) technique presented in [9] has been utilised in the implementation of the diplexer circuit. The SOLRs utilised in achieving the diplexer presented in this investigation were designed to have the dimensions shown in Fig. 5. The transmit resonator (Tx), the receive resonator (Rx), and the energy distributor resonator (ED), all correspond to the BPF1, the BPF2, and the DBF component filters, respectively. All dimensions were achieved based on the component filters centre frequencies.

\[ K_s = \frac{f_2^2 - f_1^2}{f_2^2 + f_1^2} \]  
\[ K_a = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f_{s2}}{f_{s1}} + \frac{f_{s1}}{f_{s2}} \right) \left( \frac{f_2^2 - f_1^2}{f_2^2 + f_1^2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{f_{s2}^2 - f_{s1}^2}{f_{s2}^2 + f_{s1}^2} \right)^2 \]  

Using the Agilent ADS Momentum simulator, the coupling coefficients and the external quality factors for the diplexer were determined and presented in Fig. 6. The coupling between a pair of Tx or Rx resonators (Fig. 6 (a)) where synchronously tuned since they are of equal dimensions. Similarly, the coupling between the ED resonators (Fig. 6 (b)) was also synchronously tuned. On the other hand, the couplings between Tx and ED resonators or Rx and ED resonators (Fig. 6 (c)), were asynchronously tuned because of the variations in the resonator dimensions, i.e. the resonators were resonating at different frequencies. The coupling coefficients of Figs. 6 (a), (b), and (c), were determined from simulating a coupling pair of resonators and using (2) [6], where \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are the eigenmodes from simulating a pair of resonators, \( f_{s1} \) and \( f_{s2} \) are the self-resonant frequencies of resonators 1 and 2, respectively, and \( K_s \) and \( K_a \) are for synchronous and asynchronous couplings, respectively.

\[ K_s = \frac{f_2^2 - f_1^2}{f_2^2 + f_1^2} \]  
\[ K_a = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f_{s2}}{f_{s1}} + \frac{f_{s1}}{f_{s2}} \right) \left( \frac{f_2^2 - f_1^2}{f_2^2 + f_1^2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{f_{s2}^2 - f_{s1}^2}{f_{s2}^2 + f_{s1}^2} \right)^2 \]

Using (2a) and the graph shown in Fig. 6 (a), \( S_1, S_2, S_3, S_5, S_6, S_7, S_8, \) and \( S_9 \) were achieved. \( S_1 \) and \( S_6 \) were also achieved using (2b) and Fig. 6 (c). The diplexer layout, indicating all the desired \( S \)-values is shown in Fig. 7.

\[ Q_{ext} = \frac{Q_0 C}{J} \]
IV. SIMULATION AND MEASUREMENT

Electromagnetic (EM) simulation was carried out using the Agilent ADS Momentum simulator. Conductor and dielectric loss parameters were included in the simulation. The RT/Duroid 6010LM substrate with a dielectric constant of 10.8, a loss tangent of 0.0023, and a substrate thickness of 1.27 mm was used for the simulation. A copper conductor with thickness of 16 micron (µm) and conductivity, $\sigma = 5.8 \times 10^7$ S/m was assumed for both the top and bottom metals of the microstrip. However, surface roughness and thickness variation of the substrate material were not considered.

The microstrip diplexer was fabricated using the same material employed in the EM simulation. The fabrication was based on printed circuit board (PCB) milling process. The photograph of the microstrip diplexer is shown in Fig. 8. In order to facilitate measurement of the diplexer, three SMA (Sub-Miniature version A) connectors were fitted onto the three input/output ports as shown. The testing and measurement was carried out using the Agilent Vector Network Analyzer. Fig. 9 shows the measured results indicating that an isolation ($S_{32}$) of 50 dB was achieved between the transmit ($S_{21}$) and the receive ($S_{31}$) bands. The measured minimum insertion loss of the transmit band is 2.88 dB, while that of the receive band is 2.95 dB.

The measured results and the EM loss simulation results are both presented in Fig. 10 for ease of comparison. The graphs clearly show a good agreement between the simulation and measurement. The additional transmission zeros shown in Fig. 10 is the result of unwanted cross-couplings between non adjacent resonators which is an artefact.

V. CONCLUSION

A microwave diplexer achieved by coupling a dual-band bandpass filter with two single-bands bandpass filters has been presented. The design has been experimentally validated and the performance of the diplexer presented. Measured results indicated that the isolation between the Tx and Rx bands is about 50 dB, which is very encouraging. The measured minimum insertion loss of the Tx and the Rx bands are 2.88 dB and 2.95 dB, respectively. As a result of the very good bands isolation of the diplexer, only a very small amount of signal is expected to deflect into the wrong direction. The simulated and measured results show good agreement.
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