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Abstract—Online communities have been proactive in producing collaborative creative content such as music, games and other social interactions. Online collaboration has enabled contributors to peer produce and share masses of creative content. Examples range from information sharing such as Wikipedia to open source software and other specific art projects. Software vendors have recently introduced low cost 2D and 3D content authoring tools allowing user communities to generate and share creative content. Emerging networking programming interfaces available inside modern game engines allow contributors to implement multiplayer or multiuser interaction relatively easily. This paper presents a 3D art creation framework to be used over networked infrastructure in a multiuser environment. Contributors will be able to create 3D sculptures at runtime, share with other users in a common networked working environment and critique each other’s work.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the proliferation of IT devices and networking infrastructures, online content creation and sharing has become extremely popular. Online communities have produced huge quantities of shared content in disciplines ranging from written articles to music and other interactive applications such as computer games. A good example of such content is the Scratch online project [1]. The contributors of Scratch have produced in excess of 10 million interactive projects. Recent developments in information technology such as smart phones and improved networking infrastructure has enabled contributors to contribute on the go [2]. Millions of users have shared creative content in an online collaboration called Newgrounds [3]. In this project, we have created a framework that implements the concept of multiplayer using the Unity Game Engine [4]. Users can create 3D abstract sculpture in a dedicated space and share with other collaborating users.

II. BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

This work is motivated by the fact that people who work as a community are likely to perform better than working as individuals. In a study conducted by Burke and Burr on song writing, it was discovered that people who took advantage the social features of the collaborative website performed much better than the ones did not [5]. The participants were encouraged to interact and share song writing with others. Some users did not treat the website as a community and kept their work private. These individuals wrote half as many songs.

It is not only that the direct collaboration that stimulates creativity and productivity, stigmessic aspect of online collaboration works equally well [6]. In this study, an online collaborative tool called ‘Picbreeder’ was used. The applet randomly generates images which are modified by users and published online. The other users can download these images and then continue to further evolve. This way, users collaboratively create very complex art without direct communication. In a sense, Picbreeder stimulates creativity but in a stigmessic sense.

There is a strong evidence of collaborative work in many diverse fields and professions. Cross discipline online collaboration enables designers and engineers with different backgrounds work together to produce innovative products [7]. An online collaboration tool enables designers and engineers to evaluate the design process and contribute to enhance product design. The outcome is a much-refined product since the contributors can visualise the product from different perspectives.

Creative thinking is becoming absolutely essential in all areas including engineering, medicine, art, entrepreneurship and education [8]. In this study, a web-based application was used for contributors to share ideas, comment and document the process. This shared area was seen as social gathering space, which positively influenced social and spatial psychological distance. A self-contained app also provided a framework to comment on other people’s work and vote if necessary. The results showed an increase in personal and collaborative creativity.

Collaborative practice enhances user experience and exists in many fields. One example is a transmedia application that combines mobile augmented reality and with television content [9]. A typical television show encourages viewing children to interact with the broadcast and reveal missing words using the mobile app. The evaluation of this study was carried out by monitoring 6 to 10 year old boys and girls. It
was reported that interactive technology enabled participants to provide immediate feedback to close the loop quicker than any other means. Players collaborated with each other, discussing which word or image to seek and encouraged each other finding them. This resulted in more richer and challenging engagement with an educational television programme.

Mobile devices such as tablets are being used more and more in school learning environment. In many cases, students only refer to pre-prepared content. However, collaborative content generation and sharing makes learning more engaging and fun. In a pilot study, an application called Media Enhanced Learning (MEL) was developed and employed on Android devices [10]. The application combines tutor generated pedagogical scripts and user generated multimedia content. 81% of the total participants indicated that they enjoyed learning with this app and would use this for their further studies. The most popular module was biology. Students enjoyed taking photographs of plants and shared with others on a common server.

III. IMPLEMENTATION

In our earlier work, we created an application to sketch shared content in the form of 2D graffiti. We used a head-mounted device to provide rich and immersive user experience as shown in fig. 1.

![Figure 1: Collaborative graffiti using a head-mounted display](image)

In our current project, we have implemented the creation of abstract 3D art in a shared environment. Each user can utilise a dedicated working space to create a 3D sculpture. They will be able to tag their work to claim identity and share with other collaborative users. For implementation, we used the Unity game engine. Its current version has a new networking Application Programming Interface (API) that allows users to creative multiuser environment relatively easily. The application can be extended to mobile devices so that the participants can collaborate on the go. The networking architecture follows the server-client relationship as explained below.

Network Components

The unity networking system consists of a server and multiple clients. In the absence of a dedicated server, any client can act as a ‘host’. The host consists of server and client within the same process as shown in fig 2. However, the client within the host is a special type of client called Local Client. The local client communicates with the server directly within the same process whereas the remote clients use the network to talk to the server. The benefit of this type of networking is that the local and remote clients share the same code. Hence in many cases, the developers need to write the code only once for both type of clients. When new users are created on a network, they are seen by all clients connected to that network as shown in fig 3. Each individual user is capable of exiting independently and can interact with other users. Unity’s online services are hosted in the Cloud and provide a relay server to implement a server less configuration. This service routes traffic between users and eliminates Network Address Translation (NAT) and firewall issues.

![Figure 2: Server Client architecture](image)

![Figure 3: The server and clients see all connected users In our implementation, each user runs an application on their device. One user acts as a server and has a specific networks address. The subsequent users can all connect to this IP address as clients. On successful connection, they all have their own dedicated player and can also see the other connected users. When the application is launched, the user has the option to connect as a client or act as a server as shown in fig 4.](image)
create a series of blobs to construct a 3d Sculpture as shown in fig 7.

The client window also sees the exact same content as the host as shown in fig 8.

IV. EVALUATION

A focus group comprising of students studying on a games design course was held to evaluate the effectiveness of this application. These students are familiar with the concept of 3D art and networked multiplayer implementation. The following criteria was used for evaluation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This will allow me to share my ideas with others</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This will allow me to learn from other users</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This will stimulate creativity amongst participants</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’d like to tag my creation to claim ownership</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’d like to critique others’ work</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’d like to receive feedback and criticism on my own work</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It will enable me to make new friends in an art context</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’d like to use this app on A mobile device/desktop</td>
<td>33%/87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although the size of the focus group is fairly small but the responses are very positive. The results of the focus group evaluation are shown in chart 1. The categories ‘this will allow me to share my ideas with others’ and ‘I’d like to critique others’ work’ scored favourably well. The category that deals with the ownership did not score that well. Perhaps they would like to stay anonymous and still be part of a large community. Surprisingly, most people preferred to use the app on a desktop computer. Some general comments included:

- I’d like to see a refined version in conjunction with a VR device and a gesture-sensing controller.
- A cool idea perhaps can be a plugin for a

![Chart 1: Focus group evaluation results](image)

V. CONCLUSION AND FURTHER WORK

We have created a networked prototype that enables users to create 3D abstract art and share with others. The app is implemented using a modern game engine called ‘Unity’ that allows us to create 3D art. We tested the app on a corporate networking infrastructure and did not experience any firewall issues. The focus group results show a willingness to use such application in order to share ideas with others, learn from others’ experience, give feedback to others and develop personal creativity. We feel that there is a big potential to develop this concept further. Future work will include refining and optimising 3D mesh data. The evaluation will also be carried out on a much larger scale to include participants of varying demographic.
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