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Abstract: In the programming of PLC-Systems (Programmable Logic Controller) in building automation there are no vital changes over the past few years. Most user of PLC-Systems in building automation do the programming in fbd (functional block diagram). The users normally start their projects with the programming of the PLC-Software. After that the HMI (Human Machine Interface) or the SCADA-System (Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition) are added as subsequent Tasks. This paper present a new approach to a project, the approach is changed from a bottom up into a top down one. The starting point of a project is the plant diagram. The programming is done in the plant diagram. Template objects (e.g. for pumps) created with the object orientated paradigm are used to accomplish this task. The created PLC-Software runs in a virtual machine on the PLC-System and thus will be reusable on PLC-Systems of different manufacturers.

1. Introduction:

It is planned to develop a programming language for SCADA-Systems which is independent of PLC-Hardware manufacturers. The SCADA-System programming language should be a kind of a functional block language. This because it is much easier for people to work with functional blocks and do the programming graphically than with an abstract programming language. LabVIEW from National Instruments or MATLAB from the MathWorks are good examples for graphical programming tools. In IEC 601131-3 Standard (IEC 2003) two graphical programming languages are defined, fbd and the ld (Ladder diagram). Ladder logic is the oldest programming language for PLC-Systems. Programming in ld is done by copying the wiring diagram into the PLC-System programming tool. Fbd consists of functional blocks which are connected together as it is done with logical gates. With the SCADA programming language, the logic operations are created in a special programming mode while drawing the process charts. The programming will be according to the ISO 16484-3 Standard (ISO 16484-3). (Kandare, G et al 2003) offers an approach to PLC-Code generation for real world problems. An abstract functional block diagram is used to generate st (Structured Text). St is also part of the IEC 61131-3 Standard and is similar to high level languages such as C++ or Java.

With the SCADA programming language, the conjunction data is saved in a definition file. The definition file is used by the code generator to create the source code for the selected PLC-System. Ways have to be found, to ensure that the PLC-Code generated is consistent and that in one PLC-Cycle every conjunction is evaluated in the correct order. The generator has to be able to generate the correct PLC-Code to every possible solution a user may program. (Aho, A et al 2007). Various approaches to code generation for PLC-Systems has been tried. There has been some work using Petri nets...
as a modelling tool (Thieme, J and Hanisch, J 2002) (Klein, S et al 2003). It has to be determined how a generic code generator can be realized which enables an easy implementation of a new PLC-System. For this task, the principles of compiler development (Aho, A et al 2007) has to be used. It is essential, that only a small part of the generator, the backend, has to be changed if a new PLC-System should be implemented. For this purpose it is essential to assess how data are stored in different PLC-Systems. A prototype of the code generator has to be developed. The source code produced by the code generator should be saved on various target systems in the same way (e.g. in data blocks or arrays) and run on a virtual machine. The virtual machine checks the logical operations repetively. If there are any changes of states in the logical operations, the respective code is executed. Suitable solutions have to be developed for an implementation of a virtual machine on a PLC-System with the highest possible gain of performance. Virtual machines are covered in detail in (Smith and Nair 2005). Performance has to be tested for different PLC-Systems.

1.1 Traditional approach:

As shown in Figure 1 traditional approach the traditional approach in an building automation project stipulates, that first the software for the PLC is developed and as a subsequent task the visualisation is adapted to the PLC software.

1.2 New approach:

With the SCADA-System programming language a novel approach was chosen (Figure 2 new approach). The PLC software has to be developed object oriented. The whole engineering and the design of the plant diagrams is done with template objects. A huge amount of the PLC-Code which runs the plant can be generated. The necessary connections between the TO’ s (Template Objects) is done afterwards in il (Instruction list) or fbd. Il and fbd are parts of the IEC 61131-3 standard (IEC 2003). Currently the connection of the parameters have to be done in il or fbd. In the future the connections should be established direct in the plant diagram to replace the programming in the il or fbd. To replace the last step in Figure 2 new approach, the programming in il or fbd, it has to be possible to create the PLC software direct in the plant diagram.
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Figure 1 traditional approach

With point to point connections this is already possible (Figure 3 direct connections). If the input-parameter depends on more than one signal (Figure 4 logic connections), programming has to be done in the il or fbd. To avoid this, the basic digital technology functions (AND, NAND, OR, NOR, XOR, XNOR, NOT) have to be available as logic TO’ s.
In the life cycle of a project the dependencies of an input parameter can change. To make a program modification as simple as possible, the logic TO’s should have a variable number of input parameters. It have to be possible to add or remove connections and afterwards download the edited program to the PLC without stopping it.

Example:

2. Logical template objects:

To realize the programming of a facility on the plant diagram, logic template objects are necessary. One possible solution of an implementation of such template objects on a PLC-System of Saia-Burgess is shown in the following section. The template objects have to be modelled according to the UML 2.0 standards (Kecher 2006). Some attempts has been made to use UML to generate PLC-Code (Sacha, K 2005) (Lee, JI et al 2002).

3. Storage of the Data:

The connection data should be stored in appropriate data structures. The data structure used, depends on the used PLC-System. In this section, a PLC-System from Saia-Burgess is used for the example. On Saia-Burgess PLC-Systems, data blocks should be used to store the generated code. A data block is a one-dimensional array, consist of 32-bit registers. 7999 data blocks
are available with up to 16384 32-bit registers. The data blocks from 0 up to 3999 can only store 383 32-bit values. Because of hardware reasons the access to these data blocks is significantly slower than to the ones in the higher memory area. For this reason, the data blocks starting from 4000 should be used.

3.1. Example for an AND gating with four parameters on a Saia-Burgess PLC-System:

In this section a possible solution for an AND gating with four parameters on a Saia-Burgess PLC-System is shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conjunction input 1</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunction input 2</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunction input 3</td>
<td>Output</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunction result</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the above example Table 1 AND gating example the data block would be generated as follows:

Table 2 Assembly of a datablock

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>0x01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Inputs</td>
<td>0x02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res Type</td>
<td>0x01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res Address</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res Logic</td>
<td>0x00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par1 Type</td>
<td>0x01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par1 Address</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par1 Logic</td>
<td>0x00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par2 Type</td>
<td>0x02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par2 Address</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par2 Logic</td>
<td>0x00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par3 Type</td>
<td>0x03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par3 Address</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par3 Logic</td>
<td>0x00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parameter description:
The coding of the parameters in the data block from Table 2 Assembly of a datablock is shown in the following section.

Table 3 Function codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter 1</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0x01</td>
<td>AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x02</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x03</td>
<td>XOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x04</td>
<td>NOT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Number of Inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter 2</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0x02 – 0x14</td>
<td>Number of inputs used in the conjunction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Conjunction result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter 3 and 4</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TYPE; Address</td>
<td>e.g. 0x01, 234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 Input-Result Logic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter 5</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0x00</td>
<td>Parameter is high active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x01</td>
<td>Parameter is low active</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Virtual machine on the PLC:

(Craig, I 2004) describes the formal background of virtual machines. According to (Smith and Nair 2005) there are two types of virtual machines:
- process virtual machines
- system virtual machines

An example of a system virtual machine is Vmware. Vmware provides the user with an environment where a complete operating system (guest) can be installed and run on an other operating system (host). A process virtual machine deals with a single task. For this investigation only process virtual machines are of importance.

4.1. Process virtual machine:

A case in point for a process virtual machine is the java vm. The byte code produced by the java language processor is interpreted in the virtual machine as a single process. The source code can be interpreted in different ways (Smith and Nair 2005).

- Decode-and-dispatch interpretation
- Indirect threaded interpretation
- Predecoding and direct threaded interpretation
- Binary translation

For an implementation on a PLC-System only the first and the second are of importance because no transformation from one ISA (Instruction Set Architecture) into an other is made.

4.2. Decode and-dispatch Interpretation:

A decode-and-dispatch interpreter is structured around a central loop that decodes an instruction and then dispatches it to an interpreter routine based on the type of instruction. This kind of interpretation involves a lot of branches, which can be a very time consuming task. There is an interpreter routine for every source instruction.

4.3. Indirect Threaded Interpretation:

In the indirect threaded interpreter the central loop is omitted (Figure 6 Indirect threaded Interpretation). To every interpreter routine the necessary code to fetch and dispatch the next instruction is added.
5. Code generator:

The SCADA-System programming language is a kind of a functional block language. The logic operations are created in a special programming mode while drawing the process charts. The conjunction data is saved in the project definition file. There are two kinds of conjunction-parameters, input and output parameters respectively. The parameters are stored as shown in Figure 7.

![Figure 7 Storage of connection parameters (e.g. PAR_IN)](image.png)

The Code generator uses the data stored in the definition file to create a dependency tree. By means of the dependency tree the PLC-Code will be generated. Sacha, K 2005 shows a way to automatically generate code for PLC-Systems. Unfortunately the PLC-Language generated is ladder logic. Which is not the best choice for complex systems because of its limitations. Therefore, strictly speaking, should be the languages generated.

6. Discussion:

With this future-oriented approach, for the first time it will be possible to accomplish all tasks in the realization of a project in building automation with one tool using the object orientated paradigm in a top-down approach without any dependency on the PLC-Hardware.

The user of the SCADA-System fbd will be able to respond to the wishes of the customer regarding the PLC-Hardware without problems. Projects once built with the SCADA-System fbd can be used with hardware of different manufacturers. The user only has to change the PLC-Manufacturer in his project and to regenerate the code. In this way the user easily can build up libraries of plant templates and achieve a much faster time to market with his products.

The fact that the SCADA-System fbd will not be developed by a PLC-Manufacturer ensures that no economic interests will reduce the independency from the PLC-Hardware.

7. Summary:

The merging together of SCADA, HMI development and PLC programming will lead to a more natural way in programming PLC-Systems. In a first step the user draw his plant diagram with template objects (TO' s) and afterwards bind the template objects on the plant diagram together. With this approach the user only have to cope with one software-tool. The independency from the PLC hardware will be an additional alleviation for the user. So this investigation will help to intensify the cooperation between PLC-Manufacturers and SCADA-System developers.
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